

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/710,287	06/30/2004	Bradley J. Mitchell	03-0348	4286	
44702	7590 05/04/2006		EXAMINER  COLLINS, TIMOTHY D		
	R CHONG FLAHERTY				
250 PARK A NEW YORK	VENUE, SUITE 825 . NY 10177		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- · <del>-</del>	,		3643		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/710,28	7	MITCHELL ET AL.				
		Examiner	-	Art Unit				
		Timothy D.	Collins	3643				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicater Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. ry period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>30 June 2004</u> .		·				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction a	and/or election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•					
, —	The specification is objected to by the E							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	-948\	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449).  Example: No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

NOTE: Because of the complexity of the present election/restriction requirement, the applicant is advised to carefully read the entire office action. If the applicant has any questions the applicant is advised to call the examiner before responding. Incomplete elections will be treated as non-responsive.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8 and 35-38, drawn to a cabin configuration system, classified in class 244, subclass 118.5.

Ź

- II. Claims 9-30, drawn to a cabin configuration system, classified in class 244, subclass 118.6.
- III. Claims 31-34, drawn to a cabin configuration system with handheld device, classified in class 244, subclass 118.1.
- IV. Claims 39 and 40, drawn to a method of determining a configuration, classified in class 244, subclass 1N.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions IV and I/II/III are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Art Unit: 3643

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used in a process that does not require the objects to be identified.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions III and I/II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require a plurality of interrelated controllers or plurality of transmitters and/or receivers. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a system which is not handheld and which is permanently attached to an aircraft or a train.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the wireless communication devices. The subcombination has separate utility such as in hardwired applications and those with card readers.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. NOTE: When invention I above is chosen, the following election of species must also be chosen from below.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
  - a. Species A: card reader positioning (like claim 1)
    - i. <u>Sub-species</u> (from claim 5)
      - (1) One of the information types must be selected for prosecution and claimed as a single species according to 35 U.S.C. 121 and should be claimed as such. For example information from IP address ONLY. The election should not be open ended. An open-ended response will be treated as non-responsive.
  - b. <u>Species B</u>: power line positioning (like claim 35)

The species are independent or distinct because these have separate uses and modes of operation.

9. NOTE: When invention II above is chosen, the following election of species must also be chosen from below.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,287

Art Unit: 3643

10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

c. <u>Species C:</u> the receivers are in alternating arrangements (like claim 11)

Page 5

d. <u>Species D</u>: the receivers are at 180 degrees (like claim 12)

e. <u>Species E</u>: the receivers are in columns (like claim 13)

When any of the above species of C,D, or E are chosen, the following sub-species must also be chosen from below.

ii. Subspecies i: the receivers and transmitters are IR (like claim 15)

iii. <u>Subspecies ii</u>: the receivers and transmitters are ultrasonic (like claim 16)

When the above are chosen, the below must also be chosen for Invention II.

Sub-subspecies a: One of the amenity types must be selected for prosecution and claimed as a single species according to 35 U.S.C. 121 and should be claimed as such. For example a reading light ONLY. The election should not be open ended. An open-ended response will be treated as non-responsive. (from claim 26).

Art Unit: 3643

11. NOTE: When invention III above is chosen, the following election of species must also be chosen from below.

- 12. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
  - f. Species F: One of the position determining devices must be selected for prosecution and claimed as a single species according to 35 U.S.C. 121 and should be claimed as such. For example a GPS ONLY. The election should not be open ended. An open-ended response will be treated as non-responsive. (from claim 34).

The species are independent or distinct because these have separate uses and modes of operation.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,287

Art Unit: 3643

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

13. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement because of the complexity of the present election and restriction requirement, therefore an election was not made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,287 Page 8

Art Unit: 3643

14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-6886. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:00-3:00, with every other Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2 0 . Collins
Timothy D. Collins
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3643